

## APPENDIX H

### INDEX

- Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (ARAR), 5-3, 18, 19; 7-1
- backcalculation, 5-3, 10-11
- Bayesian analysis, D-16, 17-18
- Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS), 4-16, 17-19, 32-35
- biomarker, 7-9
- bivariate normal distribution, B-45, 46-49
- bootstrap resampling, 3-12; 5-7, 15; C-11
- CDF (see cumulative distribution function)
- censored data, B-27
- central limit theorem, C-11
- central tendency exposure (CTE), 1-15, 16-17
- checklist, 1-29; 4-41; F-1, 4
- cleanup goal, level, 5-1, 3, 18-21; 7-13
- confidence interval, 1-19; 3-12; 5-7; 6-16; C-11
- continuous response, 4-28, 29
- correlation,
- and bivariate normal, B-46, 47-50
  - comparison with regression analysis, A-21, 34
  - partial, A-33
- Pearson, A-2, 26, 33
- r-square, 4-12; 6-13; A-33, 34
- simple, A-2, 26
- Spearman rank, 3-26; A-26, 36; B-26
- credible interval, 1-19; 3-12, 16; 6-16, 17
- CDF (see cumulative distribution function)
- CTE (see central tendency exposure)
- cumulative distribution function (CDF)
- compared with PDF, 1-12; 3-6, 7-8; 4-14; 7-3
- deterministic risk assessment (see point estimate risk assessment)
- dichotomous response, 4-26, 27
- distribution (see probability distribution)
- empirical distribution function (EDF), 4-15; 5-13; B-8, 22, 37-38
- Expected Value of Information (EVOI), 1-21; D-19, 20-24
- expert judgment, 6-5; D-16, 17-19
- exposure point concentration (EPC), 3-10; 5-4, 6, 12
- exposure unit, 1-18; 3-10; 5-4, 5-20; C-1, 2-13
- forward calculation, 5-3
- geostatistics, 5-14; C-12, 13; D-10, 11-16
- goodness-of-fit (GoF) test, 1-29; B-31, 32-35
- Anderson Darling (AD), B-34
  - Chi-Square, A-6; B-33
  - Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS), B-33, 34
  - probability plot (see probability plot)
  - Shapiro-Wilk, B-33
- iterative,
- reduction, 5-12, 13, 19-21
  - truncation (see truncation)
- joint probability curve, 4-30
- kriging, 5-9; D-15
- Land Method, 5-7; C-12
- Latin Hypercube Sampling, 3-15, 17
- lognormal distribution, 1-11, 25; 3-4, 12-14; 5-7, 15; C-11
- maximum entropy, B-5
- maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), B-25
- measurement of attainment, 5-21
- method of matching moments, B-24, 25
- Microexposure Event Analysis (MEE), C-2; D-6, 7-9

Monte Carlo,  
analysis, 1-D MCA, 1-14; D-1; G-4  
analysis, 2-D MCA, 1-19; D-1, 2-5  
simulation, 1-13

NCP, nine criteria, 1-6; 2-12, 16; 5-1, 4; 7-1, 12  
normalized partial derivative, A-13, 32

parameter estimation criteria, B-24, 25  
partial derivative, A-13, 29-32, 36  
PDF (see probability density function)  
point estimate risk assessment,  
compared with PRA, 1-11, 17, 20-23; 4-7, 8-11;  
G-2, 4, 5  
preliminary remediation goal (PRG), all of Chapter 5;  
7-11, 12-14  
probability density function (PDF),  
compared with CDF, 1-12; 3-6, 7-8; 4-14; 7-5  
concept of probability density, 3-4  
PDFu, 1-19; 3-12, 13-15; 4-31, 33-34; 5-8; 6-16  
PDFv, 1-12, 1-19, 20; 3-4, 12-14; 4-31; 5-8;  
C-1, 2-4  
probability distribution,  
continuous, 4-16  
discrete, 4-16  
preliminary or screening level, 2-6; 4-44; B-1, 4-5  
selection of, 3-5; B-34, 35  
for dose response or toxicity, 1-27; 3-6; 4-15,  
16-39; 7-8, 9; G-4  
probability mass function (PMF), 1-11  
probability plot, 5-16; B-23, 24, 33-34, 40-46  
problem formulation, 1-7, 22, 24, 28; 4-2, 11, 42;  
5-4, 15

quantitative uncertainty analysis, C-11

random variable, 1-11, 14; 4-12; 5-6  
rank correlation coefficient, 3-26; 6-14  
reasonable maximum exposure (RME), 1-15, 16-17  
RME range, 1-21, 26-27; 7-4, 11-13

regression analysis, A-1, 32-36  
multiple, A-2, 6, 8, 36  
stepwise, A-36  
remediation  
action level, 5-1, 7-8, 17  
goal, 1-6, 28; 2-14, 15; 5-1; 7-1; C-2  
representativeness, 1-17; 3-5, 6; 4-7; 6-10; 7-6, 7-8, C-3  
risk  
characterization, 1-5, 8; 3-1, 6, 9; 4-2; 6-10; 7-6,  
7-8; C-3  
CTE and management of ecological risk, 4-38  
communication, 1-4, 10, 25-26; 2-16; all of  
Chapter 6; C-11  
RME (see reasonable maximum exposure)

sample size, 1-18; 3-6; 5-8, 14; 6-9; C-9, 11; D-12, 13  
Scientific/Management Decision Plan (SMDP), 1-9;  
4-5, 8, 44, 46, 48  
sensitivity analysis, all of Appendix A  
role in the tiered approach, 3-9, 21; A-3  
simple correlation coefficient, A-21  
spatial autocorrelation, C-12  
species sensitivity distribution (SSD), 4-20, 21, 24-25  
stability, 3-17; 4-38; 7-6, F-5  
numerical, 1-15, 25; 3-17  
stakeholders,  
types of, 2-7, 8; 6-4, 5  
role in tiered process, 1-4, 7; 2-16; 3-17, 18-26;  
4-39; 5-12; 6-1, 4, 7, 19

Thiessen polygons, D-13, 14-15  
tiered approach, 1-9, 26-28; 2-9, 10-18; 4-40, 41; 3-17;  
5-9, 10; 6-6  
time step, C-4; F-5  
toxicity reference value (TRV), 4-6; 4-9; 4-15, 16,  
20-24, 32-35, 38  
truncation,  
probability distributions, 3-6, 13-15, 25; B-30,  
31-32  
iterative method for PRGs, 5-12 13-21; F-4

uncertainty,

model, 1-17, 18; 3-11, 17; 4-6

parameter, 1-17, 18; 3-11, 12-16

scenario, 1-18; 3-11, 17

upper confidence limit (UCL), 5-4, 5; C-11

value of information (VOI) (see EVOI)

variability,

and concentration term, all of Appendix C

inter-individual, 3-1; C-1

intra-individual, C-1, 2

spatial, C-3, 4-7

temporal, C-2, 3-7

workplan, 1-27; 2-1, 4; 4-39, 40, 44, 46; all of

Appendix F

z-score, C-10, 11